Consultation feedback/result					TMBC's interpretation	Outcomes (subject to approval by Cabinet)
Q1. The residence criterion for local connection states, "you are currently living within our borough, or were previously living here for either 6 out of the last 12 months, or 3 out of the last 5 years". Should this criterion be more demanding?					applying a minimum period of residence	allocations scheme, dated 27 February 2013, as used in the consultation.
	Yes No Not sure Total				have a housing need before being included	ed
	2	8	0	10	on the register, an additional potential de of up to 12 months could cause hardship	
accordite would be crite	ommodaterion? Pla Ild prefer In respond Ill responderion shou	te your mote ease ente to see it i dents agre	ould the so ore deman or the draft n the revis ee that the e living in the months.	ding text as ye ed schen residenc	ou ie.	

Consultation feedback/result	TMBC's interpretation	Outcomes (subject to approval by Cabinet)		
Q2. The full time education criterion is new. It states, "you or a member of your household is attending full time education here". Do you agree or disagree with this criterion?	As the majority of respondents (8) agree, this additional criterion should be included. It should also be in line with HMRC's definition and apply to dependent children/young people under 19 years of age. This also takes some account of the comment made	 Changes to revised housing allocations scheme, dated 27 February 2013, as used in the consultation: The definition of full time education for the purposes of conferring a local connection will be: 		
Agree Disagree Not sure Total 8 1 1 10	by the respondent who disagreed. ——————————————————————————————————	 in line with the HMRC definition, and applied to people age under 19 who are undertaking a course of non advanced education. 		
Q2a. If you agree , how should we define full time education?	and Tax Credits: Definition of Full Time Education:	 HMRC's definition will be included as a footnote. 		
Two respondents referred to HMRC's definition of full time education and five others suggest it should apply to dependent children/young people age under 19. Q2b. If you disagree , please explain why you disagree. The respondent who disagreed said that consideration needs to be given to part time students who also work.	Full-time education is education undertaken in pursuit of a course, where an average of more than 12 hours per week is spent during term time receiving tuition engaging in practical work receiving supervised study taking examinations. This does not include time spent on meal breaks or unsupervised study. Non advanced education is considered to include			
The respondent who was not sure raised the question that presumably applicants would have already had to meet education catchment requirements, and said that good schools, particularly in Tonbridge, may disadvantage the local community who may be waiting for social housing.	 courses such as an ordinary national diploma a national diploma or national certificate of Edexel a general certificate of education (up to and including advanced level) Scottish national qualifications (up to and including higher or advanced level). 			

Consultation feedback/result	TMBC's interpretation	Outcomes (subject to approval by Cabinet)
Q3. We have already decided on the armed forces criterion. It states, "you are a serving member of the Regular Forces or a former member within five years of discharge (or a bereaved spouse or civil partner of	As the majority of respondents (9) would not change or add to any of the other local connection criteria, any changes should be limited.	The revised housing allocations scheme, dated 27 February 2013, as used in the consultation, will be amended to state that part or full time employment will give rise to a local connection. Any restrictions such as
such a member), or a serving or former member of the Reserve Forces who needs to move because of a serious injury, medical condition or disability sustained as a result of their service." Apart from this, would you change or add to any of the other local		stating a minimum numbers of hours worked could act as a disincentive to taking up employment, so will not be included.
connection criteria?		
Yes No Not sure Total		
1 9 0 10		
Q3a. If Yes , what changes or additional local connection criteria would you propose? Please enter the draft text as you would prefer to see it in the revised scheme.		
The respondent who would change or add to the other local connection criteria suggested the scheme should clarify that serving members of the armed forces who need to move here due to serious injury etc. are also included.	All serving members (whether injured/disabled or not) will be considered as having a local connection, so no further amendment to the allocation scheme is necessary.	
One of the respondents who would not change or add to the other local connection criteria asked for:	Statutory guidance for the Housing Act (1996) states that:	

- confirmation whether permanent employment applies to both full and part time.
- clarification whether an applicant would qualify if their registered office may be outside the borough and their work area is within the borough.
- Employment should not be of a casual nature, so both full and part time employment will give rise to a local connection.
- Local connection arises where the actual work takes place, rather than the location of the registered office.

Consultation feedback/result					TMBC's interpretation	Outcomes (subject to approval by Cabinet)
Q4. Do you agree or disagree with the allocation of the above housing needs criteria to the bands? Important - please note this question asks about the above criteria as stated - the questions below provide an opportunity to change or add to the criteria.						As a result of feedback to this question there is no change to draft revised housing allocations scheme, dated 27 February 2013, as used in the consultation. However, as a result of removing the room size criteria in line with the Housing Benefit regulations (see Q11), the following criterion
Agree totally	Agree partly/ disagree partly	Disagree totally	Not sure	Total		will be removed from band D, "Households with children sharing a bedroom of inadequate size".
4	6	0	0	10		
of the real control changes a) Two hom to a revise C). appl	f you disages would you espondent respondent eless appoint higher barrised scheme of the icants with ed in a higher	ou propose ts who dis nts sugge licants sho nd than pr ne (band B ese also so n no fixed	e? agreed: sted that ould be all oposed in a rather that uggested abode sho	ocated the an band that	Reviewing the comments of the respondents who disagreed: a) Applicants who are homeless or threatened with homelessness are awarded equal priority and placed in band C. Those homeless cases where the Council has accepted a duty will usually have this duty discharged by way of an offer of a private sector tenancy. Accepted cases who are considered to be 'vulnerable' where an offer of private sector accommodation may not be appropriate will be assessed by the Housing Needs Panel, and may be	

- b) One respondent suggested that private sector tenants should be lowered to band D as they should be able to access alternative private accommodation. It is further suggested that there may a case for priority if they are unable to rent due to poor credit rating or extenuating circumstances.
- c) Two respondents referred to the priority awarded to under-occupiers. One suggested that all under-occupiers should be in band A to prevent hardship arising from the implementation of the 'bedroom tax'. The other respondent suggested that under-occupiers outside the borough should not be in band B, and that older tenants going into sheltered accommodation should be given a higher banding than band C if freeing up a larger home.

- awarded a high priority on welfare grounds, which will place them in band B.
- b) This suggestion does not account of housing need, and a 'blanket' policy of placing all applicants in band D if they are private tenants would be unlawful.

- c) Social tenants downsizing will be placed in the Band appropriate to their needs:
 - Band A (if they are giving up 2 bedrooms and currently live in the borough)
 - Band B (if they are giving up 1 bedroom and currently live in the borough; or giving up 2 bedrooms and not currently living in the borough)
 - Band C (if they are giving up 1 bedroom and not currently living in the borough).

To operate a 'blanket' policy of placing all under-occupiers in band A could be unlawful, as individual circumstances are not taken into account. The range of priorities ensures that those with the largest properties, and therefore potentially facing the greatest hardship due to welfare reform, are placed in a higher band. Under-occupiers living outside the borough will still need to have

- d) One respondent suggested that "applicants needing sheltered" are placed in band B rather than C, possibly because some sheltered properties can be hard to let.
- e) One respondent commented that tenants needing to decant are not included within the band reasons, so they assume they would be dealt with outside of CBL.
- f) One respondent suggested that people who need to move urgently, e.g. where there is a demolition order or they are a farm worker under the Rent (Agriculture) Act, should be included in band A.

- a local connection in order to be included on the housing register and, although our residents may not benefit from the larger property released, it is important to reduce hardship. Older tenants moving to sheltered accommodation will be placed into band C unless they meet the criteria of bands A or B which include some categories of under-occupation.
- d) As stated above, some applicants wanting sheltered housing but who have additional needs could be placed in band A or B. In practice, only those applicants who meet the age/support need criteria for sheltered schemes will be considered.
- e) This is confirmed in the introduction to the allocation scheme at para 1.3.
- f) The allocation scheme awards a high priority on welfare grounds (band B) where the Council has a duty to re-house displaced occupiers due to compulsory purchase or workers under the Rent (Agriculture) Act. Where a property is due to be demolished, they will be dealt with outside of CBL as confirmed in the introduction to the allocation scheme at para 1.3.

g)	One respondent suggesting widening the
	criteria of band A to include emergency
	medical criteria which does not involve
	wheelchair use but where the applicant
	could be bed-blocking.

g) Band A is intended to be very small to ensure that applicants are housed promptly in appropriately adapted accommodation where necessary. The case described would be placed in Band B and is still likely to be re-housed fairly quickly. Where there is evidence of bed-blocking, the case could be referred to the Housing Needs Panel who could award exceptional priority (band A).

Con	sultatio	n feedba	ck/result			TMBC's interpretation	Outcomes (subject to approval by Cabinet)
Q5. We have already decided on the following two housing needs criteria: - "Private sector assured shorthold tenants who are threatened with homelessness because they have been served with a valid notice, usually at least 2 months, to quit their tenancy. - Tenants occupying HM Forces service family accommodation who are threatened with homelessness because they have been served with a valid notice, usually at least 3 months, to vacate their tenancy." Apart from these, would you modify or delete any of the other housing needs criteria?				eria: cold tenant ssness with a val to quit th service hreatened have be y at least	id eir I en 3	Comments from the two respondents who said they would modify or delete any of the housing needs criteria have already been considered under Q4 where they are a 'better fit'.	No change to draft revised housing allocations scheme, dated 27 February 2013, as used in the consultation.
	Yes	No	Not sure	Total			
would pleas prefe clarify alloca	Q5a. If Yes , what modifications or deletions would you propose? For any modification please enter the draft text as you would prefer to see it in the revised scheme and clarify whether/how this would impact on its allocation to the bands. There were two responses, both relating to allocation of criteria to the priority bands.				s		

Consultation feedback/result						TMBC's interpretation	Outcomes (subject to approval by Cabinet)
Q6. Would you add to the housing needs criteria?					8		No change to draft revised housing allocations scheme, dated 27 February 2013, as used in the consultation.
	Yes	No	Not sure	Total			
	2	7	1	10			
Q6a If Yes , what additional criteria would you propose. Please enter the additional criteria as you would prefer to see them in the revised scheme and identify the appropriate band(s). Of the two respondents who would add to the housing needs criteria:					F	Reviewing the comments of the respondents who would add to the housing needs criteria:	
	employm local coni	ent or full	sked if mov time educ ith no othe D.	ation as a	a	a) The allocation scheme provides for a band D assessment if the application is awarded a low priority on welfare grounds.	
b) One respondent is unable to offer a management move outside Choice Based Lettings for their tenants as 100% of their lettings are 'nominated' by the Council, so is requesting consideration of welfare priority for their tenants needing an urgent move for decant or management reasons.						These cases will be considered by the Housing needs Panel who can award a high priority on welfare grounds.	

					<u> </u>	
Cor			ck/result			Outcomes (subject to approval by Cabinet)
Q7. hous med under review of the two med that the s	We proseholds of the current the review. Do your Yes 6 If No, ead? The three review of three review of the three review of three review of the three review of t	opose to a currently a currently a current schewised schewised schewised schewised schewised agree No 3 what would responde keeping city. One e the rangmanage to	allocate the assessed of these is ge of conditate the assessed of a series of the category of the category of the conditate the category of the cate	priority the offer of approach? Total 10 pose o not agree, ory of a concerned attions and a concerned attions attained attinuous attained a	rather that the accumulation of needs as before. This means that those who have an urgent need to move because their current accommodation does not meet their medical/disability needs will be placed in band B (unless band A applies). Those with a lower level of medical/disability need will be placed in band D (unless there are any other housing needs such as overcrowding/ under-occupation/homelessness etc which would place them in a higher band). The guidelines/procedure for assessing medical priority will clarify the circumstances that are likely to give rise to a high or low priority, and those currently assessed as medium (where	No change to draft revised housing allocations scheme, dated 27 February 2013, as used in the consultation.
wait thos more prior The diffic diffe One appri	ing list lose with a responderence be respondered roach sait is need to the respondered roach sait respondered roach roach sait respondered roach r	nger will to medium notime copies could go ent who is mment with tween high ent who are doneed to	ne housed need who a ing. They s into band s not sure thout exar gh, mediur agreed with be clear a	said it is nples of the n and low.	of their circumstances.	

Co	nsultatio	n feedba	ck/result		TMBC's interpretation	Outcomes (subject to approval by Cabinet)
soc und und	seholds (ial/welfar ler the cu ler the re	currently a e grounds rrent sche vised sche	allocate the assessed of as mediume to low eme, with this a	on m priority priority the offer o		No change to draft revised housing allocations scheme, dated 27 February 2013, as used in the consultation.
	Yes	No	Not sure	Total		
	7	1	2	10		
	ead? iilar comr		ıld you pro		for	
One on t	e respond the use o ent decar	f welfare portent	oreviously oriority for ement mov o have me	dealing w e cases f	th to move they will be awarded high priority.	

Consultation feedback/result						TMBC's interpretation	Outcomes (subject to approval by Cabinet)
Q9. Should the scheme also take account of affordability in the housing needs criteria ?						Respondents appear to be particularly concerned about affordability at the point where an applicant is being considered for accommodation rather than at the point of	No change to draft revised housing allocations scheme, dated 27 February 2013, as used in the consultation.
	Yes	No	Not sure	Total		assessing their priority on the register.	
	6	4	0	10		None of the comments stated whether any	
of at Three crites supplinced expension apples show apples finar How misu bold com	ended or fordabilities of the cria should be mented againgtone againgtone againgtone and be conficant is a crially. If ever, this understood font) on ments sufficially.	supplemently? respondently respondently suggestions and whether the suggestion od; despitently the housinggest the	uld the criented to talents who sanded or sted an assential in housing to ensure stain their may have the emping needs at some rescheme in	ke accourties and the sessment all househ that will hat the tenancy e been hasis (via criteria, the spondent	t of nold be it,	priority should be given to applicants on the grounds of affordability, so this will not be included as a separate housing need factor when determining the appropriate banding for applicants.	

Consul	Itation fee	edback/re	sult		TMBC's interpretation	Outcomes (subject to approval by Cabinet)
	tances tha		igree with esult in do			Changes to revised housing allocations scheme, dated 27 February 2013, as used in the consultation: The time limit for transferring an owned
Agree totally	Agree partly/ disagree partly	Disagree totally	Not sure	Total		property or disposing of capital assets has been increased from 5 years to 7 years. • The thresholds for those eligible for
8	2	0	0	10		sheltered housing have been increased to £100,000 for savings and/or income
would you in the dr One of to Said the base and wheeler constants and should prior	ou change raft schem the respondown-bard included in the sed whether identified whether identified be set to the ap	e the circulate? Indents who adding shelt property cess. In the time ansfer of prother famous at 7 rathers.	y way, ho mstances o disagree uld apply a tered, sup adapted for period for roperty fro illy member than 5 ye o coincide e tax.	set out ed: across ported or om ers – ears	Reviewing the comments of the respondents who disagreed: It is unrealistic to downband applicants who are in genuine need of adapted/sheltered properties, where their current property is unsuitable. This should be brought into line.	and £250,000 for equity.
for a hig housing They ga	to meet live the the	gs/asset li ong term l	disagreed mit for she nousing ne neighbou 50,000.	eltered eed.	There should be higher thresholds as older people often have greater care and support needs.	

Cons	ultation fe	eedback/	resul	t		TMBC's interpretation	Outcomes (subject to approval by Cabinet)
Q11 Do you agree or disagree with our assessment of over-crowding in the draft scheme?						•	Changes to revised housing allocations scheme, dated 27 February 2013, as used in the consultation: The age of two same sex children
	Agree partly/ disagree partly Disagree totally grantly 3 5 1 0 1 10						 expected to share a bedroom will be reduced to age 16 rather than age 18. Children of the same sex where there is an age gap of more than 10 years will no longer be considered for separate
3					10		bedrooms.
All of the align of that us benefit suggest children reduces	Q11a If you disagree in any way, how would you change the assessment? All of the comments referred to the need to align our assessment of bedroom need with that used to determine entitlement to housing benefit. Three respondents specifically suggested that the age of two same sex children sharing a bedroom should be reduced to 16 rather than 18 (ignoring the age gap) to coincide with Housing Benefit					The allocation scheme should be amended to agree with Housing Benefit regulations.	The room size criteria will be removed in line with the Housing Benefit regulations when assessing both overcrowding and under-occupancy.
One respondent suggested that although the size of the room should not count for under-occupancy, room sizes that do not meet the size criteria should be placed in Band C.					nder- et the	These views are conflicting, and to ensure clarity, under-occupancy and overcrowding assessments will be based solely on the number of bedrooms	

Consultation feedback/result					TMBC's interpretation	Outcomes (subject to approval by Cabinet)
Q12. Apart from the above changes that have already been agreed and included in the draft revised scheme, would you make any other changes to the revised draft scheme that have not already been covered in your answers to the previous questions?						No change to draft revised housing allocations scheme, dated 27 February 2013, as used in the consultation.
Yes	No	Not sure	Did not answer	Total		
0	8	1	1	10		
Q12a If Yes , what other changes would you make? The respondent who did not answer Q12 raised concerns about hard to let properties if the number of applicants on the list is reduced which could lead to the closure of some (sheltered) schemes. (This comment was made under Q1a but is a 'better fit' here.)				Q12 perties is ure of mment	Although some hard to let properties (typically single person studio bedsits within sheltered schemes) do not attract a high number of bidders, the introduction of qualifying criteria to join the housing register will not have a disproportionate impact on older applicants. Housing association landlords will still have the option to let these properties outside Choice Based Lettings if there are no suitable bidders as they do now.	
 The same respondent also sought clarification under Q12a about: who will be included on the Housing Needs Panel, and if extra bedrooms are agreed that this will be in line with the criteria for 'bedroom tax') the circumstances that will give rise to an 					Details of the composition of the Housing Needs Panel are included in the allocation scheme in para 4.7. To ensure that the need for extra bedrooms are assessed in line with the social sector size criteria: • decisions regarding an extra bedroom for	

Draft Revised Allocations Scheme Consultation - Interpretation and Outcomes

award of exceptional priority.	 a disabled child (and any other circumstances stated by DWP and set out in the scheme) will be made jointly with an assessor from the Housing Benefits team, and details of the procedure will be included in the internal guidance notes for Housing Needs Panel meetings. Examples of the circumstances that could 	
	give rise to exceptional priority are included	
	at para 4.10.	